1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL - 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

v.

MURUGANANANDAM ARUMUGAM,

Defendant.

Case No. CR19-041RSL

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE

This matter comes before the Court on the government's "Motion for an Order Addressing the Continuance of the Trial." Dkt. #123. Defendant opposes the government's motion on speedy trial grounds. See Dkt. #124. The Court, having considered the motion, the defendant's opposition thereto, and General Orders 02-20 and 08-20 of this District addressing measures to reduce the spread and health risks from COVID-19, incorporated herein by reference, hereby FINDS as follows:

- 1. Considering the recommendations made by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") and Public Health for Seattle and King County regarding social distancing measures required to stop the spread of disease, as well as the lack of personal protective equipment necessary to ensure the health and safety of all participants, it is not possible to proceed with a jury trial at this time. See W.D. Wash. Gen. Orders No. 02-20, 08-20.
- 2. Further, because of the recommendations that individuals at higher risk of contracting COVID-19 (including individuals with underlying health conditions, individuals aged 60 and older, and individuals who are pregnant) avoid large groups of people, at this time,

it would be difficult, if not impossible, to obtain a jury pool that would represent a fair cross section of the community. Based on recommendations, it would also be medically inadvisable to do so.

3. As a result, the Court finds that a failure to grant a continuance of the trial date in this case would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). Due to the Court's reduced ability to obtain an adequate spectrum of jurors, and the impact of the aforementioned public health recommendations on Court operations, the Court specifically finds that the ends of justice served by continuing the trial in this case outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); see also W.D. Wash. Gen. Orders No. 02-20, 08-20.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, over the defendant's objection, that trial in this matter be continued to September 1, 2020, the earliest possible date on the Court's calendar. Due to the evolving nature of the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on Court operations, the parties are advised that a further continuance of the trial in this matter may become necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time from the date of this Order up to and including the new trial date of September 1, 2020, shall be excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

DATED this 17th day of June, 2020.

Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

MAS Casnik